

2000]28D05, 11R06

ERGODIC-THEORETIC PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN BERNOULLI CONVOLUTIONS

NIKITA SIDOROV

ABSTRACT. In [17] the author and A. Vershik have shown that for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ and the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ the infinite Bernoulli convolution (= the Erdős measure) has a property similar to the Lebesgue measure. Namely, it is quasi-invariant of type II_1 under the β -shift, and the natural extension of the β -shift provided with the measure equivalent to the Erdős measure, is Bernoulli. In this note we extend this result to all Pisot parameters β (modulo some general arithmetic conjecture) and an arbitrary “sufficient” alphabet.

1. Introduction and the main theorem. Let $\beta > 1$; the *infinite Bernoulli convolution* (or the *infinitely convolved Bernoulli measure*) is defined as the infinite convolution of the independent discrete random variables $\theta_n(\beta)$ for n from 1 to ∞ , where $\theta_n(\beta)$ assumes the values $\pm\beta^{-n}$ with the probability $\frac{1}{2}$. This measure is well studied from the probabilistic point of view – see, e.g., [8, 2]. In particular, if $\beta > 2$, then the support of the corresponding infinite Bernoulli convolution is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure, and for $\beta = 2$ it coincides with the Lebesgue measure on $[-1, 1]$. Besides, if β is a *Pisot number* (i.e., an algebraic integer > 1 whose Galois conjugates are all less than 1 in modulus), then the famous Erdős Theorem claims that it is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure [5]. Finally, it is also worth mentioning the fundamental result by B. Solomyak who has proved that it is absolutely continuous for a.e. $\beta \in (1, 2)$ [19]. The aim of this short note is to study some ergodic-theoretic properties of this important measure in the case of Pisot parameter β .

Actually, we will consider a slightly more general model. Namely, let $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $\mathcal{A}_d = \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ and β be an irrational Pisot number, $1 < \beta < d$.

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. [.

Key words and phrases. Bernoulli convolution, β -shift, Erdős measure, ergodic properties.

Supported by the EPSRC grant no GR/R61451/01.

Let $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$ denote the *Erdős measure*, i.e., the measure on $\mathbb{R}$ that corresponds to the distribution of the random variable

$$(1) \quad \xi_{\beta,d} = \pi_{\beta,d}((x_n)_1^\infty) := \frac{\beta-1}{d-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \beta^{-n},$$

where x_n 's are i.i.d. variables, each of which assumes the values $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ with the probability $1/d$. Since $\beta < d$, it is obvious that $\text{supp } \mu_{\beta,d} = [0, 1]$. Let τ_β^+ denote the β -shift in $[0, 1)$, i.e.,

$$\tau_\beta^+(x) = \beta x \bmod 1.$$

The relationship between the Erdős measure and the infinite Bernoulli convolutions is straightforward: let $d = 2$ and $\beta \in (1, 2)$. Then the affine map $h_\beta(x) = \frac{\beta-1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$ turns the corresponding infinite Bernoulli convolution into the Erdős measure with the same parameter β . Since an affine transform does not alter any essential ergodic properties, we may confine ourselves to the study of the measures $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$.

Let X_β^+ denote the one-sided β -compactum, i.e., the space of all possible (greedy) β -expansions of the numbers in $[0, 1)$.

More precisely, let the sequence $(a_n)_1^\infty$ be defined as follows: let $1 = \sum_1^\infty a'_k \beta^{-k}$ be the greedy expansion of 1, i.e, $a'_n = [\beta(\tau_\beta^+)^{n-1} 1]$, $n \geq 1$. If the tail of the sequence (a'_n) differs from 0^∞ , then we put $a_n \equiv a'_n$. Otherwise let $k = \max \{j : a'_j > 0\}$, and $(a_1, a_2, \dots) := (a'_1, \dots, a'_{k-1}, a'_k - 1)^\infty$. In the seminal paper [12] it is shown that for each greedy expansion ε in base β , $(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots)$ is lexicographically less (notation: $\prec$) than $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ for every $n \geq 1$. Moreover, it was shown that, conversely, every sequence with this property is actually the greedy expansion in base β for some $x \in [0, 1)$.

Put

$$X_\beta^+ = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \prod_1^\infty \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\} \mid (\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots) \prec (a_1, a_2, \dots), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

(the one-sided β -compactum), and

$$X_\beta = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \prod_1^\infty \{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\} \mid (\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots) \prec (a_1, a_2, \dots), n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

(the two-sided β -compactum). The sequences from the β -compactum (one-sided or two-sided) will be called β -expansions. It follows from the above formulas that both β -compacta are stationary (= shift-invariant). As was shown in [12], the map $\varphi_\beta : X_\beta^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ defined by

the formula

$$(2) \quad \varphi_\beta(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \beta^{-n},$$

is one-to-one except a countable set of sequences.

Let $Fin(\beta)$ denote all numbers from $[0, 1)$ that have a finite β -expansion (i.e., the tail is 0^∞). It is obvious that $Fin(\beta) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap [0, 1)$; the inverse inclusion however is not necessarily true even for a Pisot number, see, e.g., [1, 16].

Definition 1. We call a Pisot number β *weakly finitary* (WF) if for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap [0, 1)$ and any $\delta > 0$ there exists $f \in Fin(\beta) \cap (0, \delta)$ such that $y + f \in Fin(\beta)$ as well.

Remark 2. The notion of WF number appeared in different settings in a number of recent works [1, 16, 15] and in a slightly different form – earlier in the thesis [9]. There exists a conjecture (shared by most experts in the area) that in fact **every** Pisot number is weakly finitary. Note that Sh. Akiyama [1] has given an explicit algorithm of checking whether a *given* Pisot number is WF, and, as far as we are concerned, none of them has failed so far.

The main theorem of the present note is as follows.

Theorem 3. *If β is WF, then the Erdős measure $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$ is quasi-invariant under the β -shift τ_β^+ . Moreover, there exists a unique probability measure $\nu_{\beta,d}^+$ invariant under τ_β^+ and equivalent to $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$. The natural extension of the endomorphism $([0, 1), \nu_{\beta,d}^+, \tau_\beta^+)$ is Bernoulli.*

We believe that knowing this fact could be important for the study of further ergodic-theoretic properties of this important measure, including its Gibbs structure and multifractal spectrum (see [10] for some results in this direction and references therein).

2. Auxiliary results and definitions. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3, which is based on the idea of [17, §1] (where the special case $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $d = 2$ was considered) and also uses techniques of [15]. Note that the claim analogous to Theorem 3 is known to be true for the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ – see [18, 4]. The above theorem therefore immediately leads to an ergodic-theoretic proof of the famous Erdős Theorem which claims that the Erdős measure is singular [5]. Indeed, it suffices to apply the corollary of the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem claiming that two ergodic measures either coincide or are mutually singular; the fact that the two invariant

measures in question do not coincide can be proved in the very same way as in the case $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, see [17, Proposition 1.10].

Our first goal is to define the two-sided normalization in base (β, d) . Let $\Sigma_d := \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$, $\Sigma_d^+ := \prod_1^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. We will use the following convention: the sequences from X_β (X_β^+) will be denoted with the letter “ ε ” and sequences from the full compacta – with “ x ”. Let p_d denote the product measure on Σ_d with the equal multipliers and p_d^+ – its one-sided analog. Recall that the *one-sided normalization* $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+$ is defined as the map from Σ_d^+ to X_β^+ acting by the formula

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+ = \varphi_\beta^{-1} \circ \pi_{\beta,d},$$

where $\pi_{\beta,d}$ is given by (1) and φ_β is given by (2) – see [6]. The following convention will be used hereinafter: the notation $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n)$ means $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0^\infty)$ and if $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n'}, 0^\infty)$, then by definition, $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n'})$, i.e., we ignore the tail 0^∞ whenever possible. By the above, the Erdős measure may be computed by the formula

$$\mu_{\beta,d}^+ = \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(p_d^+).$$

For more details see [17].

Remark 4. There exists a more direct way of defining normalization. Namely, in [6] it was shown that one may find a finite automaton that carries out the operation of normalization in Pisot bases. The converse is also true: if the function of normalization is computable by a finite automaton, then β must be a Pisot number – see [3].

We need one more technical lemma before we may proceed.

Lemma 5. *If β is WF, then there exists $L = L(\beta, d) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any word $x_1 \dots x_n$ in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_d$ there exists a word $x_{n+1} \dots x_{n+L}$ in the same alphabet such that $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_{n+L})$ is finite.*

Proof. By the well-known result of K. Schmidt [13], the β -expansion of any $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\beta] \cap (0, 1)$ is eventually periodic; moreover, for the elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap (0, 1)$ the collection of such periods is known to be finite [1, 15]. Let $\mathcal{T}_\beta = (\text{Per}_1, \dots, \text{Per}_r)$ denote this collection. In [7] it was shown that the normalization of a word $x_1 \dots x_n$ in base (β, d) has the following form:

$$\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+L_1}, \text{Per}_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

where $\text{Per}_j \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$ and L_1 is a function of β and d . Thus, it suffices to prove the claim for the words x of the form $\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{n+L_1} x_1^{(j)} \dots x_{p_j}^{(j)}$, where $x_1^{(j)} \dots x_{p_j}^{(j)}$ is the word in the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_d$ whose normalization

is Per_j . Let $p = \max_{j=1}^r p_j$, $\delta = \frac{d-1}{\beta-1} \beta^{-n-L_1-p}$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+L_1} \varepsilon_i \beta^{-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} x_i^{(j)} \beta^{-i-n-L_1}$. Then by Definition 1, there exists $f \in \text{Fin}(\beta) \cap (0, \delta)$ such that $y + f \in \text{Fin}(\beta)$. By our choice of δ , the β -expansion of f must be of the form $(f_{n+L_1+p+1}, \dots, f_{n+L_1+p+L_2})$ for some fixed L_2 (because we have only a finite number of $(x_1^{(j)} \dots x_{p_j}^{(j)})$). Setting $L := L_1 + L_2 + p$ finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6. Thus, even if the normalization of a word is not finite, you can add a “period killer” of a fixed length so that it will become such. This is in fact the only property we will be using. It looks weaker than WF and we have been even tempted to call it something like PWF (positively weakly finitary); the reason for not doing so is the fact that there are no examples of Pisot numbers that are PWF but not WF (actually, as we already mentioned above, there are no examples of Pisot numbers that are not WF at all!).

Lemma 7. *For p_d^+ -a.e. sequence $x \in \Sigma_d^+$ there exists n such that $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n)$ is finite.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [15, Proposition 18]. Let

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{A}_n = \{x \in \Sigma_d^+ : \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_n) \text{ is finite}\}$$

and $\mathfrak{B}_n = \Sigma_d^+ \setminus \mathfrak{A}_n$. Our goal is to show that there exists a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\beta, d) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(5) \quad p_d^+ \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_k \right) \leq \gamma^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let $L = L(\beta, d)$ be as in Lemma 5. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_d^+ \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_k \right) &\leq \prod_{k=2}^n p_d^+(\mathfrak{B}_k \mid \mathfrak{B}_{k-1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{B}_1) \\ &\leq \prod_{k=2}^{[n/L]} p_d^+(\mathfrak{B}_{Lk} \mid \bigcap_{j=1}^{Lk-L-1} \mathfrak{B}_j). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5,

$$p_d^+(\mathfrak{A}_{Lk} \mid \mathfrak{E}) \geq d^{-L},$$

for any $\mathfrak{E}$ in the sigma-algebra generated by $x_{Lk-L-1}, \dots, x_1$. Hence

$$p_d^+(\mathfrak{B}_{Lk} \mid \bigcap_{j=1}^{Lk-L-1} \mathfrak{B}_j) \leq 1 - d^{-L},$$

and

$$p_d^+ \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{B}_k \right) \leq (1 - d^{-L})^{[n/L]}.$$

It suffices to put $\gamma := (1 - d^{-L})^{1/2L}$, which proves (5) and the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 8. *For p_d^+ -a.e. sequence $x \in \Sigma_d^+$ its normalization is block-wise, i.e., there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ such that $n_0 = 0$ and*

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x) = \mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_1 \dots x_{n_1}) \mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_{n_1+1} \dots x_{n_2}) \dots$$

(a concatenation of finite words), and $\mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}(x_{n_k+1} \dots x_{n_{k+1}})$ is finite of the length $n_{k+1} - n_k$ for any $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Recall that by the well-known result from [7] quoted in Lemma 5, there exists a number $K = L_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if the normalization of a word of length n in a Pisot base with a fixed alphabet is finite, then the length of its normalization is at most $n + K$ (here K depends on β and the alphabet only). This means that if w_1, w_2 are two words with finite normalizations, then the normalization of $w_1 0^{2K} w_2$ is the concatenation of the normalizations of $w_1 0^K$ and $0^K w_2$.

Set

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{x \in \Sigma_d^+ \mid \exists (l_k)_1^\infty : x_j \equiv 0, \ l_k \leq j \leq l_k + 2K, \forall k \geq 1\}.$$

Obviously, $p_d^+(\mathfrak{D}) = 1$. Put

$$\mathfrak{A}^+ = \mathfrak{D} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{A}_n,$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_n$ is given by (4). Still we have $p_d^+(\mathfrak{A}^+) = 1$. Thus, the probability that at the end of the first block in Lemma 7 there are $2K$ consecutive zeros, is also 1. Therefore, by the above, the normalizations of the first block and all the rest will be totally independent. Consider the second block, then the third one, etc. - and then take the countable intersection of all the sets obtained. This is a sought set of full measure p_d^+ . $\square$

3. Two-sided Erdős measure and conclusion of the proof. Now we are ready to define the two-sided normalization and – consequently – the two-sided Erdős measure.

Definition 9. Let $x = (x_n)_{-\infty}^\infty \in \Sigma_d$. Put

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}(x) := \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathfrak{n}_{\beta,d}^+(x_{-N}, x_{-N+1}, \dots),$$

where the limit is taken in the natural (weak) topology of Σ_d . By the previous corollary and the fact that the measure p_d is the weak limit of the measures $S_d^n(p_d^+)$ (where S_d denotes the shift on Σ_d), we conclude that the map $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d} : \Sigma_d \rightarrow X_\beta$ is well defined and blockwise (in the sense of the previous corollary) for p_d -a.e. sequence $x \in \Sigma_d$. We will call it the *two-sided normalization* (in base (β, d)).

Remark 10. It is worth noting that if β is an algebraic unit (i.e., if $\beta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$), then there exists an alternative way of defining $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}$ via the torus. Namely, let $x^m = k_1 x^{m-1} + \dots + k_m$ be the characteristic equation for β ($k_m = \pm 1$) and $\mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$. Let T_β be the automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^m$ determined by the companion matrix M_β for β , i.e.,

$$M_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_{m-1} & k_m \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(T_\beta)$ denote the group of points homoclinic to zero, i.e., $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{H}(T_\beta)$ iff $T_\beta^n(\mathbf{t}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$. A homoclinic point $\mathbf{t}$ is called *fundamental* if the linear span of its T_β -orbit is the whole group $\mathcal{H}(T)$. It is well known that such points always exist for T_β (actually they exist for any automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^m$ which is $SL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ -conjugate to T_β – see [20, 15]). Now let the map from X_β onto $\mathbb{T}^m$ be defined as follows:

$$(7) \quad F_{\mathbf{t}}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_n T_\beta^{-n}(\mathbf{t}),$$

where $\mathbf{t}$ is fundamental. It is easy to show that the series does converge on the torus [14, 15] whenever the ε_n are bounded. Let τ_β denote the shift on X_β , i.e., $\tau_\beta(\varepsilon)_n = \varepsilon_{n+1}$. In [15] it is shown that if β is WF, then $F_{\mathbf{t}}$ is one-to-one a.e. and conjugates the shift τ_β and T_β .

Now we state without proof that similarly to the one-sided normalization (see (3)), the two-sided normalization can be computed by the formula

$$\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d} = F_{\mathbf{t}}^{-1} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{t},d},$$

where the projection $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{t},d} : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ is given by the same formula (7) as $F_{\mathbf{t}}$ with ε_n replaced by x_n . In particular, it is well defined a.e. and does not depend on a choice of $\mathbf{t}$.

Definition 11. The projection $\nu_{\beta,d} := \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(p_d)$ is called the *two-sided Erdős measure*.

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_d & \xrightarrow{S_d} & \Sigma_d \\ \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d} \\ X_\beta & \xrightarrow{\tau_\beta} & X_\beta \end{array}$$

Since the two-sided normalization obviously commutes with the shift (see (6)), the diagram commutes as well, whence the two-sided Erdős measure is also shift-invariant (unlike the one-sided Erdős measure!). This is because

$$\tau_\beta(\nu_{\beta,d}) = \tau_\beta \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(p_d) = \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d} S_d(p_d) = \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(p_d) = \nu_{\beta,d}.$$

Moreover, since the automorphism (Σ_d, p_d, S_d) is Bernoulli, so is the automorphism $(X_\beta, \nu_{\beta,d}, \tau_\beta)$ – by Ornstein’s Theorem which claims that all Bernoulli factors are Bernoulli [11].

Let $\rho_d : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \Sigma_d^+$ and $\rho_\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\beta^+$ denote the natural projections. Then

$$\mu_{\beta,d}^+ = (\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+ \rho_d)(p_d).$$

Let

$$\nu_{\beta,d}^+ := (\rho_\beta \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d})(p_d) = (\varphi_\beta \rho_\beta)(\nu_{\beta,d}).$$

By the above, $\nu_{\beta,d}^+$ is τ_β^+ -invariant and its natural extension is Bernoulli.

Note that one of the reasons why one may have difficulties with the one-sided Erdős measure is because the operations of normalization and projection do not commute (and therefore, $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$ is not shift-invariant). However, in a sense these operations are “commuting up to a finite number of coordinates”, which allows us to finish the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 12. *The measures $\mu_{\beta,d}^+$ and $\nu_{\beta,d}^+$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $P_{\beta,d} = \rho_\beta \circ \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}$ and $Q_{\beta,d} = \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+ \circ \rho_d$. Since p_d is preserved by the action of the group that changes a finite number of coordinates, it suffices to show that there exist two maps $C : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \Sigma_d$ and $C' : \Sigma_d \rightarrow \Sigma_d$ defined p_d -almost everywhere with the following properties: each of them is a step function with a countable number of steps, it changes just a finite number of coordinates of x and also

$$(8) \quad P_{\beta,d}(C(x)) = Q_{\beta,d}(x), \quad Q_{\beta,d}(C'(x)) = P_{\beta,d}(x).$$

If we construct such functions, this will prove Theorem 3, because then we will have

$$(\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+ \rho_d)(p_d) \prec (\rho_\beta \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d})(p_d), \quad (\rho_\beta \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d})(p_d) \prec (\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}^+ \rho_d)(p_d),$$

i.e., $\nu_{\beta,d}^+ \approx \mu_{\beta,d}^+$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the two-sided analog of $\mathfrak{A}^+$ defined in the proof of Corollary 8, namely, $\mathfrak{A}$ is the set of all sequences in alphabet $\mathcal{A}_d$ whose normalization $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}$ is blockwise in the sense of Lemma 7. This set has full measure p_d . Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}$; then x can be represented in the block form $x = (\dots B_{-2}B_{-1}B_0B_1\dots)$ and

$$\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(x) = (\dots \mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(A_{-1})\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(A_0)\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(A_1)\dots),$$

where each word $\mathbf{n}_{\beta,d}(A_n)$ is of the same length as A_n .

Let $A_0 = (x_{-a}\dots x_b)$ with $a > 0, b > 0$ (one can always achieve this by merging blocks). By the above, we have $P_{\beta,d}(x) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_b, *)$ and $Q_{\beta,d}(x) = (\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_b, *)$ (where the star indicates one and the same tail), i.e., the difference is only at the first b places. Thus, it is easy to guess what C and C' may look like. Namely, put

$$(C(x))_j = \begin{cases} x_j, & j < -a \text{ or } j > b \\ 0, & -a \leq j \leq 0 \\ \varepsilon'_j, & 1 \leq j \leq b \end{cases}$$

and

$$(C'(x))_j = \begin{cases} x_j, & j < -a \text{ or } j > b \\ 0, & -a \leq j \leq 0 \\ \varepsilon_j, & 1 \leq j \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Both functions are obviously well defined for p_d -a.e. x , are step functions with a countable number of steps and change a finite number of coordinates. The equalities in (8) are satisfied as well, which proves Theorem 3. $\square$

4. Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank E. Olivier and A. Thomas for helpful discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sh. Akiyama, *On the boundary of self-affine tiling generated by Pisot numbers*, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan, <http://mathalg.ge.niigata-u.ac.jp/~akiyama>
- [2] J. C. Alexander and D. Zagier, *The entropy of a certain infinitely convolved Bernoulli measure*, J. London Math. Soc. **44** (1991), 121–134.
- [3] D. Berend and Ch. Frougny, *Computability by finite automata and Pisot bases*, Math. Systems Theory **27** (1994), 275–282.
- [4] K. Dajani, C. Kraaikamp and B. Solomyak, *The natural extension of the β -transformation*, Acta Math. Hungar. **73** (1996), 97–109.
- [5] P. Erdős, *On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions*, Amer. J. Math. **61** (1939), 974–975.

- [6] Ch. Frougny, *Representations of numbers and finite automata*, Math. Systems Theory **25** (1992), 37–60.
- [7] Ch. Frougny and B. Solomyak, *Finite beta-expansions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **12** (1992), 713–723.
- [8] A. Garsia, *Arithmetic properties of Bernoulli convolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 409–432.
- [9] M. Hollander, *Linear Numeration Systems, Finite Beta Expansions, and Discrete Spectrum of Substitution Dynamical Systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, 1996.
- [10] E. Olivier, N. Sidorov and A. Thomas, *On the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions, and related problems in fractal geometry*, preprint.
- [11] D. Ornstein, *Ergodic Theory, Randomness and Dynamical Systems*, New Haven and London, Yale Univ. Press, 1974.
- [12] W. Parry, *On the β -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **11** (1960), 401–416.
- [13] K. Schmidt, *On periodic expansions of Pisot numbers and Salem numbers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 269–278.
- [14] K. Schmidt, *Algebraic codings of expansive group automorphisms and two-sided beta-shifts*, Monatsh. Math. **129** (2000), 37–61.
- [15] N. Sidorov, *Bijective and general arithmetic codings for Pisot toral automorphisms*, J. Dynam. Control Systems **7** (2001), 447–472.
- [16] N. Sidorov, *An arithmetic group associated with a Pisot unit, and its symbolic-dynamical representation*, Acta Arith. **101** (2002), 199–213.
- [17] N. Sidorov and A. Vershik, *Ergodic properties of Erdős measure, the entropy of the goldenshift, and related problems*, Monatsh. Math. **126** (1998), 215–261.
- [18] M. Smorodinsky, *β -automorphisms are Bernoulli shifts*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **24** (1973), 273–278.
- [19] B. Solomyak, *On the random series $\sum \pm \lambda^i$ (an Erdős problem)*, Annals of Math. **142** (1995), 611–625.
- [20] A. Vershik, *Arithmetic isomorphism of the toral hyperbolic automorphisms and sofic systems*, Functional. Anal. Appl. **26** (1992), 170–173.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UMIST, P.O. Box 88, MANCHESTER M60 1QD, UNITED KINGDOM. E-MAIL: NIKITA.A.SIDOROV@UMIST.AC.UK